FITCH RATES MARYLAND TRANSPORTATION AUTH'S 2019 \$50MM REV BONDS; AFFIRMS OUTSTANDING AT 'AA'

Fitch Ratings-New York-29 May 2019: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA' rating to Maryland Transportation Authority's (MDTA) \$50.5 million series 2019 transportation facilities projects revenue refunding bonds. In addition, Fitch has affirmed MDTA's approximately \$1.6 billion in outstanding transportation facilities project revenue bonds at 'AA'. The Rating Outlook is Stable.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The rating reflects MDTAs role as a vital system that provides diverse transportation links in the mid-Atlantic region, demonstrated traffic levels with resilience to economic conditions, and a pricing framework that allows for strong rate-making ability. The rating is supported by robust financial metrics under Fitch's rating case scenario, with a high 10-year average debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) of 2.8x, and moderate projected leverage (net debt over cash flow) of 5.1 in 2023.

Critical Transportation Network - Revenue Risk (Volume): Stronger

MDTA revenues are derived from a diverse system of seven mature assets and the Inter County Connector (ICC) that provide critical transportation links in a high volume market with limited competing facilities. The systems legacy facility transactions have historically experienced low elasticity while numerous significant toll increases have been introduced.

Demonstrated Toll Increases - Revenue Risk (Price): Stronger

Demonstrated Toll Increases: The authority has a demonstrated ability to raise rates to maintain financial flexibility and meet internal policies. This ratemaking ability is supported by the facilities' affluent service areas comprising Baltimore and Washington D.C. metropolitan statistical areas. The toll cut in FY 2016 may signify a fundamental policy shift that causes some uncertainty regarding future independent rate setting ability. However, there are no current plans for any toll adjustments.

Prudent Capital Planning - Infrastructure & Renewal: Stronger

The authority's facilities are in overall good condition. The \$3.1 billion 2019-2024 capital program focuses on preservation and addresses system expansion and congestion relief. The program is nearly two-thirds funded as pay-go, with the remaining one-third funded with \$1.1 billion in future debt issuances. The replacement of the Nice bridge with a larger four-lane bridge is included in the capital plan at a total cost of \$744 million.

Conservative Debt Structure - Debt Structure: Stronger

The authority operates according to a policy of maintaining a DSCR above 2.0x, unencumbered cash above \$350 million, and a statutory bond cap of \$2.325 billion rising to \$3.0 billion after 2020. The replacement of the \$81 million in cash-funded debt service reserves with surety policies is somewhat mitigated by the authority's strong cash position, with an estimated 641 days of unrestricted cash on hand as of fiscal year end 2019; however, balances are expected to diminish as the authority executes its capital program.

Financial Profile

MDTA has a demonstrated track record of producing solid debt service coverage and maintaining moderate leverage while retaining a high level of financial flexibility. Fitch's 10-year rating case DSCR averages 2.8x, but dips below below the 2.0x target by 2027 if the authority continues to issue debt annually after the completion of the 2024 capital plan and does not increase tolls. Net

debt-to-cash-flow available for debt service increases from 2.4x to a max of 6.6x in the rating case as debt is issued annually through 2028. The debt forecast is conservative since the authority expects to have lower than expected capital expenditures in fiscal 2019 and could potentially delay expected new issuances in 2020. The metrics do not include revenue from the Hatem Bridge, a General Account project, which generated \$11 million in toll revenues in 2018.

PEER GROUP

Florida Turnpike Enterprise (FTE; AA/Stable) and Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC; A+ senior /A- subordinate/Stable), like MDTA are essential facilities with strong catchments and limited direct competition. All three have considerable ongoing capital needs. The average rating case debt service coverage ratios for MDTA and FTE are comparable, but MDTA's leverage exceeds FTE's as additional debt is issued through 2028. PTC's higher debt burden than both MDTA and FTE results in higher leverage on both liens, explaining PTC's lower ratings.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action: --Under-performance of traffic and revenue with further toll reductions or unwillingness to adjust tolls with coverage dropping to at or near the 2.0x debt service coverage policy.

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action: --At the current rating level, a further upgrade is unlikely due to future investment and political risks inherent to toll systems.

TRANSACTION SUMMARY

MDTA expects to issue \$50.5 million in transportation facilities projects revenue refunding bonds series 2019. Proceeds will fully refund the series 2009A bonds. The fixed rate bonds are on parity with the outstanding bonds and mature in 2023. The refinancing is expected to provide a net present value of savings of \$4.1 million. The series 2019 bonds will be secured by a pledge of the authority's net revenues generated through facility operations.

CREDIT UPDATE

Performance Update

Fiscal 2018 legacy facility traffic decreased by 0.3%, while toll revenue increased 0.4% from fiscal 2017. Traffic growth on the Intercounty Connector grew 5.4% and the I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL) increased 4%, resulting in system-wide traffic growth of 1%. Total operating expenses paid from pledged revenue grew 1.7% in fiscal 2018, mainly due to an increase in collection, police patrol, and maintenance expenses.

MDTA eliminated the \$7.50 E-ZPass Maryland transponder fee for all new customers in May 2018. The authority will lose approximately \$6 million in fees, but anticipates increased use of electronic tolling across the system. Due to the operating cost differential between electronic and cash tolling, management expects the conversion to be budget neutral. All electronic tolling is expected to start on the Francis Scott Key Bridge and Thomas J Hatem Bridge in October 2019.

Fitch Cases

Fitch's base case utilizes sponsor-projected revenues, expenses, capital spending, and cash balances, through 2024 and no toll increases through 2028. Fitch also incorporated the expected \$1.1 billion in additional debt from fiscal 2020 through 2024 to support the capital plan as well as

annual debt issuances thereafter. The authority maintains strong metrics with an average DSCR of 3.1x from 2019-2028 and year-five leverage of 4.5x in 2023.

Fitch's rating case assumes a 4% traffic stress in 2021, generally in line with previous recessions, followed by 1% annual revenue growth and no toll increases through 2028. Annual growth in operating expenses is stressed 0.5% above base case growth rates (of approximately 4.0%). The proposed debt issuances were also incorporated. Metrics under stressed rating case conditions remain strong, even when considering anticipated future leveraging, reflecting MDTA's resilience to economic downturns and its essentiality. DSCR from 2019-2028 averages 2.8x, and year-five leverage is 5.1x 2023. Leverage peaks at 6.6x in 2028 due to new debt issuances. A toll increase would be needed in 2027 to maintain the DSCR above 2.0x. Fitch views the authority's economic ratemaking flexibility as strong considering its relatively low toll rates.

Asset Description

The Maryland Transportation Authority is an independent state agency tasked with the construction, operation, maintenance, and repair of certain revenue-producing Transportation Facilities Projects and General Account Projects. The authority operates a diverse system of eight toll facilities including I-95 between Baltimore and the Maryland-Delaware state line, three bridges crossing Chesapeake Bay, Potomac River and Baltimore Harbor, two tunnels on I-95 and I-895 and ICC since operations began in February 2011.

Security

The bonds are primarily secured by the net revenues of the Transportation Facilities Projects.

Contact:

Primary Analyst Anne Tricerri Associate Director +1-646-582-4676 Fitch Ratings, Inc. 33 Whitehall Street, New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst Scott Monroe Director +1-415-732-5618

Chad Lewis Senior Director +1-212-908-0886

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212 908 0278, Email: sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria Rating Criteria for Infrastructure and Project Finance (pub. 27 Jul 2018) https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10038532 Toll Roads, Bridges and Tunnels Rating Criteria (pub. 30 Jul 2018)

https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10038900

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/ REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.